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Preamble. The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) developed this policy statement to establish a set of principles and rules that the ACHP will encourage federal agencies to adopt as they carry out their day-to-day responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). This statement also establishes a set of standards and guidelines that federal and state agencies, local entities, Indian Tribes, industry applicants, and other relevant entities should, at a minimum, seek to implement in order to provide burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects the consideration and protection they deserve.

This policy statement is not bound by geography, ethnicity, political or socioeconomic status, or a system of belief and recognizes that the respectful consideration for burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects is a human rights concern shared by all. However, the burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects of certain groups of people, including but not limited to Indian Tribes, Native Hawaiians, enslaved Africans and their descendants, and other Indigenous Peoples, have a higher probability of being unmarked and undocumented and thus more likely to be affected by development projects. As such, this policy statement emphasizes the need for consultation and coordination with those communities, including seeking consensus in decision making and providing deference to their practices, protocols, and preferences, where feasible.

Section 106 requires agencies to consult and seek agreement with consulting parties on measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects to historic properties. Accordingly, and consistent with Section 106, this policy does not recommend a specific outcome from the consultation process. Rather, it focuses on issues and perspectives that federal agencies should consider while carrying out their consultation and decision-making responsibilities. The ACHP will incorporate these principles in its work and encourages federal agencies and other entities to apply the principles in this policy any time there is potential to encounter burial sites, human remains, or funerary objects.

In many cases, burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects are subject to other applicable federal, Tribal, state, or local laws or protocols that may prescribe a specific outcome, such as the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA). In those scenarios, the federal agency should identify and follow all applicable laws or protocols and implement any prescribed outcomes. NHPA and NAGPRA are separate and distinct laws, with separate and distinct implementing regulations and categories of parties that must be consulted. Compliance with one of these laws does not equate to or fulfill the compliance requirements of the other. Implementation of this policy and its principles does not, in any way, change, modify, or detract from NAGPRA or other applicable laws.

Authority: The authority for this policy stems from the ACHP’s statutory responsibility to advise on matters relating to historic preservation (which includes the role of Indian Tribes, Tribal Historic Preservation Officers, and Native Hawaiians). It also includes consultation with Indian Tribes in the Section 106 Process: The Handbook (2021) and Tribal Consultation: Best Practices in Historic Preservation (2005) provide additional guidance.

1 The ACHP’s publication Consultation with Indian Tribes in the Section 106 Process: The Handbook (2021) and the National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers’ publication Tribal Consultation: Best Practices in Historic Preservation (2005) provide additional guidance.
Preservation Officers [THPOs], and Native Hawaiian organizations [NHOs] in that process), to advise the President and Congress regarding historic preservation matters, and to recommend methods to federal agencies to improve the effectiveness, coordination, and consistency of their historic preservation policies. While the ACHP recognizes that not all burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects may constitute or be associated with historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places, the consideration and treatment of such places fall within the concerns of the historic preservation community.²

This policy statement recognizes the unique legal and political relationship between the federal government and federally recognized Indian Tribes as set forth in the Constitution of the United States, treaties, statutes, and court decisions, and acknowledges that the federal Indian trust responsibility is a legal obligation under which the United States “has charged itself with moral obligations of the highest responsibility and trust” toward Indian Tribes.³ Part of the ACHP’s trust responsibility is to ensure that the regulations implementing Section 106 incorporate the procedural requirement that federal agencies consult with Indian Tribes and NHOs that attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by undertakings the federal agency proposes to carry out, license, permit, or assist.⁴ In general, the trust responsibility establishes fiduciary obligations on the part of federal agencies to Tribes, including a duty to protect Tribal lands and cultural and natural resources for the benefit of Tribes and individual Tribal members.

The ACHP views its trust responsibility as encompassing all aspects of historic resources including intangible values.⁵ As part of that trust responsibility, the ACHP offers this policy statement to inform how the Section 106 consultation process should consider burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects.

**Principles.** The care for and consideration of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects is of significant social and moral consequence in the United States and U.S. territories. When burial sites, human remains, or funerary objects are or have the potential to be encountered during the planning or implementation of a proposed federal undertaking, the following principles should be adhered to:

**Principle 1:** Burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects should be treated with dignity and respect in all circumstances regardless of National Register eligibility or the circumstances of the action. This includes, but is not limited to, all times prior to and during consultation, during field surveys, when handling must occur, in documenting and/or reporting, if treatment actions occur, and in all other forms of interaction.

**Principle 2:** Disturbing or disinterring burial sites, human remains, or funerary objects, when not requested by descendants, associated Indian Tribes or NHOs, or required by applicable law or regulation, should not be pursued unless there are no other alternatives available and only after consultation with descendants or associated communities and fully considered avoidance of impact and preservation in place.

**Principle 3:** Only through consultation, which includes the early and meaningful exchange of information and a concerted effort to reach consensus, can informed decisions be made about the identification, documentation, National Register eligibility, and treatment of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects.

---

² 54 U.S.C. §§ 304102 and 304108  
³ *Seminole Nation v. United States*, 316 U.S. 286 (1942)  
⁵ “Policy Statement Regarding the Council’s Relationship with Indian Tribes” (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 2000)
**Principle 4:** To the maximum extent possible, decision making should give deference to the treatment requests of descendants or associated communities. Where known, and in accordance with applicable law, cultural practices of the descendants or associated communities should be followed if burial sites, human remains, or funerary objects may be encountered, are inadvertently identified, impacted, or must be disinterred.

**Principle 5:** The Indigenous Knowledge held by an Indian Tribe, NHO, or other Indigenous Peoples is a valid and self-supporting source of information. To the fullest extent possible, deference should be provided to the Indigenous Knowledge and expertise of Indian Tribes, NHOs, and Indigenous Peoples in the identification, documentation, evaluation, assessment, and treatment of their burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects.

**Principle 6:** Burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects are important in and of their own right. They may also constitute or be part of a sacred site and may include or incorporate several possible elements of historic significance including religious and cultural significance. The integrity of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects is best informed by those who ascribe significance to them.

**Principle 7:** Burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects are frequently associated with cultural practices, sacred sites, Indigenous Knowledge, and other forms of culturally sensitive actions and/or information unique to a people. Maximum effort should be taken to limit the disclosure of confidential or sensitive information through all available mechanisms including, but not limited to, the proper handling and labeling of records, limiting documentation to necessary information, and through the application of existing law.

**Principle 8:** The federal Indian boarding school system directly targeted American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian children in the pursuit of a policy of cultural assimilation that coincided with territorial dispossession. In partnership with the historic preservation community, federal agencies should seek to implement the recommendations identified in the Department of the Interior’s *Federal Indian Boarding School Investigative Report* by supporting community-driven identification, documentation, interpretation, protection, preservation, reclamation, and co-management of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects across that system, including marked and unmarked burial areas, and supporting repatriation where appropriate.

**Principle 9:** The legacies of colonization, including cultural assimilation, forced relocation, and slavery, have led to an uneven awareness of where and why practitioners are likely to encounter burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects across the United States and its territories. The historic preservation community has a key role in expanding public education to support greater awareness of and consideration for the histories and lifeways of Indian Tribes, Native Hawaiians, enslaved Africans and their descendants, and Indigenous Peoples including recognizing and respecting the historical trauma that these groups and individuals may experience.

**Principle 10:** Access to and/or repatriation of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects should be enabled through fair, transparent, and effective mechanisms developed in conjunction with descendant communities to the fullest extent of the law.

**Principle 11:** Human remains and funerary objects may be relocated or removed from a location by or at the request of descendant communities for a variety of reasons. The continued presence of human remains or funerary objects may not be essential to the ongoing significance and integrity of a site or its relevance to a broad theme in history. The historic significance and integrity of such sites are best determined in consultation with lineal descendants and/or associated communities.
Principle 12: Climate change can impact the burial sites, sacred sites, cemeteries, and associated cultural practices significant to Indian Tribes, NHOs, and other groups of people. Climate plans should be developed in consultation and should include mechanisms to support the advanced identification and protection or treatment of these locations.

Principle 13: Respectful consideration of burial sites, human remains or funerary objects may require additional assistance from consulting parties to properly identify, document, evaluate for National Register eligibility, and/or conduct treatment actions. If a federal agency requests or relies on an Indian Tribe, NHO, or other party to carry out activities that are the federal agency’s responsibility under the NHPA, the Indian Tribe, NHO, or other consulting party should be reimbursed or compensated.6

Implementation of the Policy. Implementation of this policy statement is the responsibility of the ACHP’s leadership and staff; however, the ACHP recognizes that appropriate expertise and experience to ensure effective implementation may also reside in other parties. Accordingly, the ACHP commits to advancing consideration of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects in the Section 106 process with its preservation partners through the following:

A. Train ACHP staff regarding the implementation of this policy statement.

B. Development of informational resources that address the NHPA, Section 106, and the following:
   i. The Federal Indian Boarding School Initiative
   ii. The intersection of NAGPRA
   iii. Acquiring and managing sensitive information
   iv. Climate change and burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects
   v. Best practices in the treatment of marked and unmarked burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects.

C. ACHP staff will seek opportunities to implement the policy principles into Section 106 agreement documents and program alternatives to advance consideration of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects.

D. The ACHP will advise federal agencies, Indian Tribes, Tribal and State Historic Preservation Officers, and NHOs in their development of historic preservation protocols for appropriate consideration of burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects.

E. Encourage federal agencies and other relevant parties to give full and meaningful consideration to burial sites, human remains, and funerary objects consistent with this policy statement.

Policy Review Period. The ACHP commits to reviewing this policy statement approximately every five years from the date of its adoption to ensure its continued applicability. The ACHP executive director will seek input regarding the need to update this policy statement through appropriate ACHP committees, including Federal Agency Programs and Native American Affairs. Amendments shall be pursued when the executive director or ACHP members determine that such action is required and/or would significantly improve the policy statement. This policy statement shall be in effect until rescinded by ACHP members.

Definitions. The definitions provided below are meant to inform the application of this policy statement. However, terms such as burial site, intact, disturbance, and human remains, among others, often require the input of associated parties to more fully understand how to interpret or apply each term. The

---

6 Consistent with ACHP’s Guidance on Assistance to Consulting Parties in the Section 106 Review Process, when the federal agency (or in some cases the applicant) seeks the views and advice of any consulting party in fulfilling its legal obligation to consult with them, the agency or applicant is not required to pay that party for providing its views.
definitions provided below are intended to be inclusive and to advance the preservation and protection of burial sites, human remains, and funerary items, as appropriate.

- **Burial Site**: Any location, whether originally below, on, or above the surface of the earth, where human remains are or have been located.
- **Confidential**: Information that is protected by law, regulation, or federal policy. Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information
- **Consultation**: The process of seeking, discussing, and considering the views of other participants and, where feasible, seeking agreement with them. A foundational activity in the Section 106 review process.
- **Consulting parties**: Persons or groups the federal agency consults with during the Section 106 process. They may include the State Historic Preservation Officer; Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations; representatives of local governments; applicants for federal assistance, permits, licenses, and other approvals; and/or any additional consulting parties. Additional consulting parties may include individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in the undertaking due to the nature of their legal or economic relation to the undertaking or affected properties, or their concern with the undertaking’s effects on historic properties.
- **Culturally sensitive**: Tangible and intangible property and knowledge which pertains to the distinct values, beliefs, and ways of living for a culture. It often includes property and knowledge that is not intended to be shared outside the community of origin or outside of specific groups within a community.
- **Disturbance**: Disturbance of burial sites that are listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places likely would constitute an adverse effect under Section 106. An adverse effect occurs when “an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property’s location, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association”.
- **Funerary objects**: Objects that, as part of the death rite or ceremony of a culture, are reasonably believed to be associated with human remains.
- **Historic property**: Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. It includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties, and it includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization and that meet the National Register of Historic Places criteria.
- **Human remains**: The physical remains of a human body including cremains, fragmented human remains, hair, and fluid, among other components. When human remains are believed to be commingled with other material (such as soil or faunal), the entire admixture should be treated as human remains.
- **Indian Tribe**: An Indian Tribe, band, nation, or other organized group or community, including a Native village, Regional Corporation or Village Corporation, as those terms are defined in Section 3 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, which is recognized as eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians.
- **Indigenous Knowledge (IK)**: Information provided by an Indian Tribe, Tribal member, Native Hawaiian, or other Indigenous person uniquely reflective of their knowledge, experience, understanding, or observation relating to cultural resources, practices, or actions. Indigenous Knowledge often constitutes sensitive information.

---

7 Based on 36 CFR § 800.2(c)
8 36 CFR § 800.2(c)(6)
9 “Native American Archival Materials,” (First Archivist Circle, 2007)
10 36 CFR § 800.5(a)(1)
11 36 CFR § 800.16(1)
12 43 U.S.C. § 1602
13 36 CFR § 800.16(m)
- **Native Hawaiian**: Any individual who is a descendant of the aboriginal people who, prior to 1778, occupied and exercised sovereignty in the area that now constitutes the state of Hawaii.\(^{14}\)

- **Native Hawaiian organization (NHO)**: Any organization which serves and represents the interests of Native Hawaiians; has as a primary and stated purpose the provision of services to Native Hawaiians; and has demonstrated expertise in aspects of historic preservation that are significant to Native Hawaiians.\(^{15}\)

- **Preservation in place**: Taking active steps to avoid disturbing a burial site, human remains, or funerary objects including, to the maximum extent practical, any access, viewsheds, setting, and/or ongoing cultural activity that may be associated with the location.

- **Section 106**: That part of the NHPA which establishes a federal responsibility to take into account the effects of undertakings on historic properties and to provide the ACHP a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such action.

- **Sensitive**: Information that may be protected by law, regulation, or federal policy; information that may be identified as sensitive by the sponsoring entity/original source.

- **State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)**: The official appointed to administer a state’s historic preservation program.\(^{16}\)

- **Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO)**: The official appointed or designated to administer the Tribe’s historic preservation program.\(^{17}\)

- **Treatment**: Measures developed and implemented to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects to historic properties.

---

\(^{14}\) 36 CFR § 800.16(s)(2)

\(^{15}\) 36 CFR § 800.16(s)(1)

\(^{16}\) 54 U.S.C. § 302301

\(^{17}\) 54 U.S.C. § 302702