1	
1 2 3 4	MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT AMONG
2	THE UNITED STATES GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION,
4	THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION,
5	THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE,
6	THE NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION,
7	AND
8	THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
9	REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE
10	INTERAGENCY SECURITY COMMITTEE (ISC) LEVEL 5
11	PERIMETER SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS
12	AT ST. ELIZABETHS NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARK,
13	WASHINGTON, DC
14	- the set of the set o
15	This Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") is made as of this $\prod_{i=1}^{H}$ day of March 2010, by
16 17	and among the United States General Services Administration ("GSA"), the Advisory Council on
18	Historic Preservation ("ACHP"), the District of Columbia Historic Preservation Office ("DCHPO"), the National Capital Planning Commission ("NCPC"), and the United States Department of
19	Homeland Security ("DHS") (all referred to collectively herein as the "Signatories" or individually
20	as a "Signatory" or as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party"), pursuant to Sections 106 and 110
21	of the National Historic Preservation Act ("NHPA"), 16 U.S.C. §§ 470f and 470h-2(f), and the
22	Section 106 implementing regulations at 36 C.F.R. Part 800 and pursuant to the Programmatic
23	Agreement ("PA") (Exhibit 1) among GSA, ACHP, DCHPO, the United States Federal Highway
24	Administration ("FHWA"), NCPC, and DHS regarding the Redevelopment of St. Elizabeths
25	National Historic Landmark ("NHL"), Washington, DC, dated December 9, 2008, which
26	contemplated a multi-phased redevelopment project and the execution of one or several
27	separate Memoranda of Agreement ("MOAs") per 36 C.F.R § 800.6, of which this is the second
28	for Phase I ("Phase I"); and
29	
30 31	WHEREAS, the Undertaking (hereinafter defined) contemplated by this MOA is part of a
31 32	larger undertaking, addressed in the PA and the Master Plan ("Master Plan"), which proposes
33	GSA's redevelopment of the entire West Campus ("West Campus") of St. Elizabeths ("St. Elizabeths") and the North Campus Parcel ("North Campus Parcel") of the East Campus ("East
34	Campus") for use as a high-security federal campus for the DHS Headquarters, and FHWA's and
35	GSA's implementation of certain new roadway and interchange construction within portions of
36	United States National Park Service ("NPS") parkland known as Shepherd Parkway ("Shepherd
37	Parkway"), to provide ingress and egress to the West Campus; and
38	
39	WHEREAS, the purpose of this MOA is to ensure continued compliance with NHPA,
40	including Sections 106 and 110(f), whereby GSA shall carry out consultation for each phase of
41	development and, prior to any effort that may directly or adversely affect the Site (hereinafter
42	defined) shall to the maximum extent possible, undertake planning and actions as may be
43	necessary to minimize harm while satisfying the programmatic requirements of DHS and shall
44	afford the DCHPO, ACHP, and Consulting Parties (hereinafter defined) a reasonable opportunity
45 46	to comment, respectively; and
40 47	WHEREAS St. Elizabeths is located in the Southeast subdeast of Machineters 5.0
48	WHEREAS, St. Elizabeths is located in the Southeast quadrant of Washington, D.C. ("D.C.") and includes the 176-acre West Campus and an adjacent 173-acre East Campus
49	(Exhibit 2). GSA has determined the Area of Potential Effect ("APE") (Exhibit 3) as defined in 36
50	C.F.R. § 800.16(d) for the Undertaking includes both physical and visual areas; and
51	

- 52 WHEREAS, to satisfy DHS's high-security programmatic requirements, GSA proposes to 53 develop a site, which follows the perimeter and is within the West Campus of St. Elizabeths (the 54 "Site") (Exhibit 4), for the new Interagency Security Committee ("ISC") Level 5 Perimeter Security 55 Improvements ("Perimeter Security Improvements"); and
- 57 WHEREAS, GSA's proposed Perimeter Security Improvements within the Site include 58 development of: 31,713 gross square feet ("GSF") of new vertical construction for Guard Booths, 59 and six (6) Entry Control Points ("ECP(s)"), for which there are two (2) extant gatehouses, 60 Buildings 21 and 78 (together, approximately 1,900 GSF); access improvements associated with the Security Perimeter Fence ("Security Perimeter Fence") at the ECPs; a Security Perimeter 61 62 Fence with an inner and outer fence/barrier system, vehicle barriers, lighting, and surveillance 63 equipment; a Security Perimeter Fence patrol path; and associated site work within the Limit of 64 Disturbance (as defined herein); collectively referred to, together with the items set forth in the 65 following clause, herein as the Undertaking ("Undertaking"); and 66
- 67 WHEREAS, the Undertaking also includes the adaptive use of NHL Contributing 68 Buildings including Building 77 (Comfort Station) and Buildings 21 (Gatehouse 1 and Comfort 69 Station) and 78 (Gatehouse 2), the latter two of which will become two (2) of the six (6) ECPs: 70 demolition of Contributing Building 70 (Employee Cafeteria); rehabilitation (approximately 3,300 71 linear feet), dismantling (approximately 99 linear feet), and reconstruction (approximately 86 72 linear feet) of the historic masonry wall along Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, a Contributing 73 Landscape Feature; fill of the historic underpass between the West Campus and the East 74 Campus at Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, which will become Gate 3, a Contributing Landscape 75 Feature: and rehabilitation of additional Contributing Landscape Features associated with the 76 site work within the Limit of Disturbance (hereinafter defined); and 77
- WHEREAS, GSA, as property owner and lead agency for this Undertaking, is responsible
 for the ongoing stabilization, maintenance, upkeep and ongoing protection of the West Campus
 in accordance with the Maintenance Program and the separate National Capital Region
 Programmatic Agreement and throughout redevelopment and in perpetuity as long as the West
 Campus remains in its control and jurisdiction *provided that* if GSA proposes to transfer the West
 Campus or any portion thereof to any non-federal entity, such an undertaking would be subject to
 the provisions of 36 C.F.R. Part 800; and
- WHEREAS, GSA has applied the Criteria of Adverse Effect (36 C.F.R. § 800.5(a)(1)) and
 found that the Undertaking shall have an adverse effect on the St. Elizabeths NHL, including
 Contributing Buildings as listed: Building 20 (a-h) (Greenhouses); Building 21 (Gatehouse 1 and
 Comfort Station); Building 70 (Employee Cafeteria); Building 77 (Comfort Station); and Building
 78 (Gatehouse 2); and
- 91

92 WHEREAS, GSA has applied the Criteria of Adverse Effect (36 C.F.R. § 800.5(a)(1)) and 93 found that the Undertaking shall have an adverse effect on the St. Elizabeths NHL, including 94 Contributing Landscape Features as listed: the West Campus Cemetery; the historic masonry 95 wall; the historic underpass between the West Campus and the East Campus at Martin Luther 96 King Jr. Avenue; and various other features which are individually listed in a table entitled "St. 97 Elizabeths West Campus Contributing Features Affected by Security Perimeter Fence 98 Construction" (Exhibit 5), which is based on information developed for the St. Elizabeths West 99 Campus Master Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement ("FEIS") and derived from the St. 100 Elizabeths West Campus CLR and LPMP; and

WHEREAS, GSA notified DCHPO and ACHP of its adverse effect determination for this
 Undertaking in accordance with the PA, and GSA has continued its ongoing consultation with
 DCHPO, ACHP and Consulting Parties to fulfill GSA, DHS and NCPC obligations under NHPA;
 and

107 WHEREAS, to complete the Undertaking, GSA and DHS have entered into ten-year 108 preliminary Occupancy Agreements (OA) for Phase I of the project prior to occupancy of the Site 109 and are reviewing additional preliminary OAs for future phases wherein DHS shall occupy the 110 West Campus together with the North Campus Parcel of the East Campus of St. Elizabeths 111 creating a high-security ISC Level 5 facility that meets DHS' program and functional 112 requirements consistent with the Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, GSA submitted the final Master Plan to NCPC and the U.S. Commission of
 Fine Arts ("CFA") on November 10, 2008 and approval was granted on November 20, 2008 by
 CFA and on January 8, 2009 by NCPC at their regularly scheduled meetings; and

WHEREAS, the components of DHS to be housed at St. Elizabeths, which shall achieve the DHS co-location requirement of 4.5 million GSF of office space to house 14,000 DHS employees plus 1.5 million GSF of parking to provide 3,594 employee spaces and 640 visitor and official vehicle spaces, by utilizing both the West Campus and the North Campus Parcel of the East Campus of St. Elizabeths, are those included in the DHS National Capital Region Housing Plan and as set forth in the Master Plan; and

124 125 WHEREAS, in accordance with Section III.B (Modifications to Master Plan) of the PA, GSA, through the ongoing Section 106 process, and in response to the conditions set forth by 126 127 NCPC in January 2009, has refined the Master Plan in an effort to minimize and/or mitigate the 128 adverse effects of this Undertaking on the West Campus by (a) locating the Perimeter Security 129 Perimeter Fence System in the vicinity of the Cemetery to a western location parallel to the 130 access roadway, retaining the Cemetery within the secured perimeter; (b) reconfiguring plans for 131 Gates 1 and 2; (c) restoration of landscape in the area of disturbance and demolition of Building 132 70: (d) relocating Gate 4 closer to the southwest boundary of the West Campus; (e) adding a new entrance gate (Gate 5) to separate the Child Care Center entrance from the Gate 4 133 134 employee entrance; (f) reducing the parking area at the Child Care Center (Gate 5) to minimize 135 impacts in the proximity of the Cemetery; (g) combining the warehouse and screening entrances 136 to create a single point of entry at Gate 6; and (h) aligning plans for the Perimeter Security 137 Fence System at the eagle zone with the topography of the site, all of which are reflected in the 138 final Security Perimeter Design Approval Submission Package (Exhibit 6); and 139

WHEREAS, the final Perimeter Security Improvements Design Approval Submission
 Package was reviewed by the Consulting Parties and it was agreed that the content of this
 submission reflects the modifications to the Master Plan and other agreements reached in
 consultation for this Undertaking; and

WHEREAS, GSA acknowledges that future phases of the larger redevelopment outlined
 in the PA may require further refinements to the Master Plan and that those refinements shall be
 addressed in ongoing consultation relative to the particular undertaking being considered and
 from which the modification is resulting; and

WHEREAS, GSA has identified in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 63.2 the existing
 contributing landscape features ("Contributing Landscape Features") (Exhibit 5) on the Site that
 contribute to the character and significance of the NHL and proposes to retain, to the maximum

153 extent possible. Contributing Landscape Features and to otherwise be guided by landscape 154 restoration, treatment and maintenance guidelines outlined in the Preservation, Design & Development Guidelines ("Design Guidelines"), the Cultural Landscape Report ("CLR") and the 155 156 associated Historic Landscape Preservation and Management Plan ("LPMP") (Exhibit 7); and 157 158 WHEREAS, GSA has recently stabilized, repaired and repointed the brick portion of the 159 historic masonry wall along Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue in accordance with the Secretary of 160 Interior standards, providing a journeyman craftsman training opportunity in lime putty mortar 161 application under the tutelage of an expert masonry preservation specialist; and 162 163 WHEREAS, GSA, in accordance with Section III.D.1.a of the PA, completed Historic 164 American Landscape Survey ("HALS") on January 12, 2010 for the overall West Campus 165 documentation inclusive of the historic masonry wall along Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue and each of the historic entry gates associated with this Undertaking (Exhibit 8); and 166 167 168 WHEREAS, GSA, in accordance with Section III.D.1.c of the PA, completed Historic 169 Structure Reports ("HSRs") and Building Preservation Plans ("BPPs") for each of the 170 Contributing Buildings associated with this Undertaking: Buildings 20 (a-h), 21, 70, 77 and 78 171 (Exhibit 9); and 172 173 WHEREAS, GSA completed a Geophysical survey work plan and an archaeological 174 resource assessment that identified the parking lot east of Buildings 72, 73, 74 and 75 as having 175 potential for archaeological sites, on which the DCHPO concurred (Exhibit 10) and the 176 implementation of that work plan has resulted in the identification of three subsurface anomalies 177 (Exhibit 11); and 178 179 WHEREAS, because the Site, as part of a larger undertaking addressed in the PA, is 180 large and development at St. Elizabeths shall occur in phases, and predevelopment activities 181 may include excavation of soil and other ground-disturbing activities, the Signatories agree that a 182 flexible phased approach to the identification and evaluation of archaeological resources, 183 pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(b)(2), and to the application of the criteria of adverse effect, 184 pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.5(a)(3) is appropriate; and 185 186 WHEREAS, completion of this Undertaking is necessary for the occupancy of the United States Coast Guard ("USCG") building, which is being developed in accordance with the 187 188 Memorandum of Agreement among the United States General Services Administration, the 189 Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the District of Columbia Historic Preservation Office, 190 the National Capital Planning Commission, and the United States Department of Homeland 191 Security regarding the development of the United States Coast Guard Headquarters at St. 192 Elizabeths National Historic Landmark, Washington, DC executed on December 18, 2009 193 ("USCG MOA") and to respond to deadlines associated with the American Recovery and 194 Reinvestment Act ("ARRA"); and 195 196 WHEREAS, the footprint of the new Perimeter Security Improvements and the area 197 immediately surrounding the footprint (See Exhibit 4, "Constructing Staging Plan") are 198 designated as Limit of Disturbance ("LOD") areas (Exhibit 4) for this Undertaking; and 199 200 WHEREAS, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.3(f), GSA identified and consulted with, in 201 addition to the Signatories identified above, the following Consulting Parties: Advisory 202 Neighborhood Commissions 8A, 8B, 8C, 8D, and 8E, American Society of Landscape Architects, 203 Anacostia Historical Society, Brookings Institution, Committee of 100 on the Federal City,

204 Cultural Landscape Foundation, D.C. Preservation League, District of Columbia Office of 205 Planning, Friends of St. Elizabeths, Institute for Public Representation at Georgetown University 206 Law Center, National Association of Olmsted Parks, Medical and Professional Society of St. 207 Elizabeths Hospital, National Coalition to Save Our Mall, National Historic Landmark Stewards 208 Association. National Museum of Civil War Medicine. National Trust for Historic Preservation. 209 Office of Councilmember Marion Barry, Representative Eleanor Holmes Norton, St. Elizabeths 210 Hospital (DC Department of Mental Health), U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, U.S. Department of 211 the Interior, U.S. National Park Service, (referred to collectively herein as the "Consulting 212 Parties"); and 213 214 WHEREAS, in accordance with the PA, GSA has consulted with the DCHPO to conclude 215 there are no federally recognized tribes in the District of Columbia, Maryland or Virginia nor are 216 there any tribes who have relocated to a different area that reasonably have any documented 217 historic ties to the Site. If any federally recognized tribe should come forward to demonstrate 218 historic ties to the Site, and be interested in developing consultation procedures for projects 219 resulting from any undertaking that has not already been approved pursuant to the PA and this 220 MOA that may affect historic properties with which the tribe has historic ties, it may consult with 221 GSA to develop such procedures pursuant to 36 C.F.R § 800.2 (c)(2)(ii)(E); and 222 223 WHEREAS, GSA, in accordance with Section III.C.1.b of the PA, continued consultation 224 for the redevelopment of St. Elizabeths since approval of the Master Plan in January 2009. 225 Consultation for the development of the Security Perimeter Fence was initiated on October 9, 226 2008 (Exhibit 12). GSA, in accordance with Section III.C.1.c of the PA, invited NCPC and CFA 227 to participate in this consultation and worked with both agencies to determine appropriate 228 submittal dates for the final Design Approval Submission Package; and 229 230 WHEREAS, GSA, in accordance with Sections III.C.2, III.C.3 of the PA, has consulted on 231 and provided design submissions for the Undertaking to the Signatories and Consulting Parties 232 for review. In accordance with Section III.C.4 of the PA, GSA has reviewed and taken all 233 Signatory and Consulting Party comments into consideration; and 234 235 WHEREAS, GSA, in accordance with Section III.C.5 of the PA, has conducted 236 consultation for this Undertaking to facilitate the execution of a signed MOA concurrent with final 237 design submissions to NCPC; and 238 239 WHEREAS, in accordance with the 30-day submission requirement requested by NCPC 240 for this Undertaking, GSA has submitted the Design Approval Submission Package (Exhibit 6) to 241 NCPC on February 26, 2010, for consideration at the April 1, 2010 NCPC meeting; and 242 243 WHEREAS, CFA approved the Master Plan on November 20, 2008, and shall submit the 244 March 4, 2010 Design Approval Submission Package for this Undertaking at its March 18, 2010 245 meeting; and 246 247 WHEREAS, in connection with GSA's statutory compliance related to the presence of 248 bald eagles occupying a nesting territory within the Shepherd Parkway property adjacent to the 249 Site, on March 16, 2009, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS") issued a letter 250 (submitted in accordance with the provisions of the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 251 U.S.C. 668-668c)) successfully finalizing GSA's compliance for the proposed Undertaking 252 (Exhibit 13), stating that FWS "is in agreement with the proposed plan ("Alternative 5" for the 253 DHS Headquarters Consolidation at the St. Elizabeths Campus, as also approved by NCPC on 254 January 8, 2009), clearing and fence construction outside the nesting season (December 15 to

- June 15) and elimination of the southern helipad. By implementing these measures the bald eagle[s] will continue to use their current nesting territory despite minor modifications to the nest protection buffer." *Provided further* that the activities set forth in this MOA related to the Undertaking are consistent with Alternative 5 and FWS' approval; and
- WHEREAS, GSA shall continue to provide an opportunity for public involvement in the development of future Undertakings of the project in accordance with the PA and 36 C.F.R. § 800.2(d) and § 800.6(a) (4); and

WHEREAS, GSA shall continue to make consultation and non-sensitive project-related documents accessible to the public through a project website. This MOA includes Sensitive-But-Unclassified ("SBU") related elements which are included within specific exhibits of this MOA. Any exhibits considered to be SBU, or marked as such, may not be released to any party who does not have a valid and demonstrated "Need to Know". Nor shall any SBU material be released to a media source or published on any public web site that is not accredited to support SBU materials in accordance with SBU guidelines and procedures (Exhibit 14); and

271 272 WHEREAS, GSA shall ensure that the Undertaking is carried out in accordance with the 273 Master Plan, the Preservation Design and Development Guidelines, the LPMP, the 274 Archaeological Resources Management Plan, and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards 275 (collectively "Governing Documents") and in consultation with DCHPO, ACHP and Consulting 276 Parties. GSA worked collaboratively with the Consulting Parties to evaluate alternatives or 277 modifications to the Undertaking that would avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects per 36 278 C.F.R. § 800.6(a); and 279

WHEREAS, GSA, in accordance with Section III.D.1.f of the PA, completed the LPMP for
 the West Campus which acknowledges the ever-changing existence of the cultural landscape
 and inter-relationship of treatment and ongoing maintenance (Exhibit 15); and

NOW THEREFORE, the Signatories agree that the Undertaking shall be implemented in
 accordance with the following stipulations ("Stipulations") in order to take into account the effect
 of the Undertaking on Contributing Buildings and Contributing Landscape Features.

288 STIPULATIONS

GSA shall ensure that the following measures are carried out:

291I.GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

292 293

294 295

296

297

298

299 300

A. Documentation

- Historic American Buildings Survey ("HABS"): In accordance with mitigation measures agreed upon with the DCHPO and NPS guidance, and Section III.D.1.a of the PA, GSA shall prepare HABS documentation for Buildings 20 (a-h), 21, 70, 77 and 78 in preparation for construction of the Security Perimeter Fence.
- Archaeological Resources Management Plan ("ARMP"): In accordance with mitigation measures agreed upon with DCHPO and Section III.D.1.g of the PA, GSA is preparing an ARMP for the St. Elizabeths West Campus.

302 303

304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 309
 309
 300
 300
 301
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 305
 306
 307
 307
 306
 307
 307
 307
 308
 309
 309
 300
 300
 300
 300
 301
 301
 301
 302
 302
 303
 303
 304
 305
 305
 306
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307
 307

B. Alterations to Project Documents

308

309 310

311

312

313 314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324 325

326 327

328 329

330

331332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341 342

343

344

345

346 347

348

349

350

351

In accordance with Section IX.A of the PA, GSA shall carry forth the following practices and procedures regarding Alterations to Project Documents associated with this Undertaking:

If GSA desires any material or substantial additions or deletions from the Design Approval Submission Package (Exhibit 6) or other documents that have been reviewed and commented on pursuant to the MOA, which material or substantial changes, additions and/or deletions GSA determines may result in potential adverse effects, GSA shall furnish the Signatories and the Consulting Parties with a statement of the requested material changes, additions and/or deletions, submitting with such statement appropriate plans, specifications or other documentation showing in detail the nature of the material changes, additions and/or deletions requested. If the changes are found to cause or constitute adverse effects on historic properties and/or resources, GSA shall then consult further regarding these potential effects in accordance with Section III.C.1 of the PA.

C. Construction Staging

As stipulated in the PA, GSA shall ensure that all construction contractors and teams selected to perform work that may result in adverse effects to Contributing Buildings or Landscape Features, follow the Construction Staging Plan ("Construction Staging Plan") approved by GSA.

The Construction Staging Plan for this Undertaking ("Security Perimeter Fence Construction Staging Plan") (Exhibit 4), which was developed in consultation with the Consulting Parties and is consistent with GSA Master Specifications, Building and Life Safety Codes, and construction best practices, identifies Contributing Buildings, Contributing Landscape Features and archaeological sites potentially affected by the Undertaking and associated construction staging activities, and outlines the following measures to avoid and minimize harm to these resources while providing the necessary access and flexibility to efficiently and effectively complete the Undertaking. GSA shall ensure that the following measures shall be taken to address these requirements:

- 1. Site Access and Vehicular Circulation: GSA shall ensure that general construction vehicular access to the construction site shall occur over the Temporary Construction Access Road established as part of the USCG Construction Staging Plan (USCG MOA Exhibit 2) at Gate 6.
- 2. Temporary Construction Trailers: Office trailers shall be located within the LOD as indicated on Exhibit 4 for the duration of construction for this Undertaking. GSA shall ensure that the area be restored per Exhibit 5 at the end of the construction phase once all temporary trailers have been removed.
- 352
 353
 354
 3. Protection of Contributing Landscape Features: GSA shall ensure that the protection measures recorded in Exhibit 5 are carried out for the resources identified in the LPMP and potentially affected by this Undertaking.

255				
355				
356			4.	Protection of Human Burials: GSA shall ensure that measures are in place so that
357				construction activities shall not affect human burials within the Cemetery.
358				
359			5.	Protection of Archaeological Resources: A professional archaeologist shall be on site
360				during initial excavation of areas agreed upon by DCHPO and GSA and shall provide
361				appropriate documentation as required per the PA, this MOA, and, upon completion,
362				the ARMP.
363				
364			6	Protection of the Eagle Zone: The eagle habitat shall be protected according to the
365			0.	FWS regulations as long as the nest remains active. This includes no construction
366				activities within the 660 foot radius of the eagle's nest during the nesting season,
367				December 15 th through June 15 th of each year, which GSA shall be responsible for
368				monitoring and managing.
369				
370	II.		UN	NDERTAKING SCOPE
371				
372			The	e Perimeter Security Fence improvements shall be constructed within and around the
373				rimeter of the West Campus. In conjunction with the Perimeter Security Fence, the
374				est Campus will be served by six (6) Entry Control Points ("ECPs"); each shall be
375				signed to accommodate specific user(s).
376			ues	signed to accommodate specific user(s).
			ть	a alamanta of the Devimator Coourity improvements are deperihed below by location
377				e elements of the Perimeter Security improvements are described below by location
378			and	d are in accordance with the NCPC Design Approval Submission (Exhibit 6).
379		_	_	
380		Α.	Pe	rimeter Security Fence System, Guard Booths and Patrol Path
381				
382				The Perimeter Security Fence System shall consist of a double fence barrier located
383				within the boundaries of the West Campus. There shall be a minimum clear zone
384				between the inner and outer fence to enhance detection and allow adequate
385				response time to a security incident. The existing historic masonry wall shall be
386				rehabilitated and used as the outer fence barrier along Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue.
387				
388				The inner perimeter fence shall consist of a 'no climb' chain link material and 'no
389				climb' picket material in more visible areas of the site.
390				
391				There shall be a patrol path provided for security patrols along the inside of the
392				Security Perimeter Fence and within the Site. Each segment of the path shall be
393				designed to allow security vehicle circulation and surveillance of the Security
394				Perimeter Fence. The patrol path will consist of a combination of existing roadways,
395				
				sidewalks and a newly created security patrol path designed for electrical vehicle
396				and/or pedestrian use and will consist of a pervious material, where applicable,
397				approximately 7.5 feet in width and will require grading in some portions of the path
398				as described in Exhibit 6.
399		_	_	
400		В.	En	try Control Points
401				
402				There shall be six (6) ECPs providing access to the West Campus from surrounding
403				roadways. The gates at each ECP have been designed to meet the requirements
404				necessitated by the specific users of the entry such as VIPs, employees, visitors,

405 maintenance, service/deliveries, etc. The gate design considers mode of 406 transportation (e.g., arrival by vehicle, bus, pedestrian, bicycle) and volume arriving at 407 each ECP during varying traffic volume situations (e.g., shift change, special events, 408 off-hours, peak business hours). 409 410 Each ECP shall be served by a gatehouse or guard booth which shall serve as the 411 central control center for each ECP by providing shelter for security personnel and 412 controls for vehicle barriers, traffic control devices, access controls, lighting, utilities 413 and surveillance equipment. Each inspection area shall be equipped with a 414 processing office that is ADA compliant. The following identify the ECPs: 415 416 1. ECP #1 – Employee/VIP Entrance Gate 1 417 418 ECP #1 (Gate 1) shall be located at the northeast corner of the West Campus, 419 entered from Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue and shall serve as both VIP entry and one 420 of the employee entries. This ECP shall have three (3) vehicular traffic lanes total into 421 an underground garage (the underground garage will be addressed in a subsequent 422 MOA and is not a component of this Undertaking). 423 424 Components of this ECP include: The adaptive reuse of existing Building 21 425 (Gatehouse 1 and Comfort Station); incorporation of a new gate compatible with the 426 historic gate at the historic gate entry location; dismantling of approximately 41 linear 427 feet and reconstruction of approximately 41 linear feet of the historic masonry wall 428 associated with the creation of the new gate entry; addition of a new gate to 429 accommodate the new access entry into the future underground parking garage; 430 rehabilitation and repair of the historic masonry wall as necessary; demolition of the 431 Greenhouse Buildings 20 (a-h); construction of a new supplemental gatehouse; and 432 incorporation of new bollards at the gate entry, all of which are shown in Exhibit 6. 433 434 2. ECP #2 – Visitor Entrance Gate 2 435 436 ECP #2 (Gate 2) shall be located along the eastern boundary of the West Campus, 437 entered from Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue south of ECP #1. This entrance shall be 438 utilized for visitor access and will host the visitors' center on the West Campus. This 439 ECP shall have three (3) vehicular traffic lanes total into an underground visitor 440 garage (the underground visitor garage will be incorporated in a subsequent MOA 441 and is not a component of this Undertaking). 442 443 Components of this ECP include: The adaptive reuse of existing Buildings 77 444 (Comfort Station) and 78 (Gatehouse 2); incorporation of a new gate compatible with 445 the historic gate at the historic gate entry location; dismantling of approximately 10 446 linear feet of the historic masonry wall to accommodate the new access entry into the 447 parking garage; rehabilitation and repair of the historic masonry wall as necessary; 448 construction of a new visitor center; and incorporation of new bollards at the gate 449 entry, all of which are shown in Exhibit 6. 450 451 3. ECP #3 – Emergency Access Entrance Gate 3 452 453 ECP #3 (Gate 3) shall be located at the southeast corner of the West Campus south 454 of ECP #2 entered from Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue. This entrance shall have two

455 (2) vehicular traffic lanes to be utilized as one of the emergency vehicle ingress and 456 egress points for the West Campus. 457 Components of this ECP include: Incorporation of a new above grade access gate off 458 459 of Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue compatible with the historic gate at the historic gate 460 at Gate 1; rehabilitation and repair of the historic masonry wall as necessary; dismantling of approximately 48 linear feet of the historic masonry wall along Martin 461 462 Luther King Jr. Avenue and reconstructing approximately 45 linear feet of the historic 463 masonry wall to form walls lining a portion of the entrance drive leading to the new 464 gate; infill of the historic underground vehicle and pedestrian tunnel to the East 465 Campus; addition of a new gate added to accommodate the new above grade access 466 entry off of Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue; demolition of Building 70; incorporation of a 467 new guard booth and a vehicle barrier system, all of which are shown in Exhibit 6. 468 469 4. ECP #4 – Employee Entrance Gate 4 470 471 ECP #4 (Gate 4) shall be located at the southwest corner of the West Campus. This 472 entrance shall provide entry into the West Campus from the proposed access road 473 running parallel to I-295 along the west boundary of the West Campus; and will serve 474 as a permanent entrance for employees. This ECP shall include commuter bus stops 475 and a new gatehouse, all of which are shown in Exhibit 6. 476 477 5. ECP #5 – Child Care Facility Entrance Gate 5 478 479 ECP #5 (Gate 5) shall be located adjacent to the childcare facility along the western 480 boundary of the West Campus. This entrance shall include a child care drop-off 481 parking area for ten (10) short-term parking spaces; an access point for emergency 482 vehicles; and a new gatehouse, all of which are shown in Exhibit 6. 483 484 6. ECP #6 – Warehouse/Large Vehicle Screening Facility Gate 6 485 486 ECP #6 (Gate 6) shall be located near the north corner of the West Campus. This 487 entrance shall provide for a truck/large vehicle inspection area with a holding area 488 sized to accommodate peak periods of truck demands and serve as the warehouse 489 entrance facility. The new warehouse facility will be incorporated in a subsequent 490 MOA and is not a component of this Undertaking. This ECP shall include a new 491 guard booth, all of which are shown in Exhibit 6. 492 **III. AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION AND MITIGATION MEASURES** 493 494 495 GSA shall carry out actions for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating adverse effects, as 496 noted below. GSA shall fund increased costs and complete the work associated with the 497 avoidance, minimization and/or mitigation measures outlined in this MOA, which exceed 498 the cost of DHS minimum requirements for ISC Level 5 Perimeter Security 499 Improvements. 500 501 A. Contributing Buildings 502 503 The Contributing Buildings expected to be affected by this Undertaking include: Building 504 20 (a-h) Greenhouses; Building 21 (Gatehouse 1 and Comfort Station); Building 70

505 (Employee Cafeteria); Building 77 (Comfort Station); and Building 78 (Gatehouse 2). 506 Exhibit 15, and in accordance with the Design Approval Submission Package (Exhibit 6), 507 documents character defining features and treatment plans for the Contributing Buildings 508 that may be adversely affected by this Undertaking. 509 510 In accordance with the attached exhibits 6 and 15, GSA shall carry out actions for 511 avoiding, minimizing and mitigating effects, including but not limited to: 512 513 1. Avoidance: 514 515 GSA shall take the following actions to avoid unanticipated adverse effects, in 516 accordance with the Governing Documents to the maximum extent possible while 517 meeting operational and security requirements throughout the course of the 518 Undertaking: 519 520 i. The Perimeter Security Fence System shall be routed to avoid 521 Contributing Landscape Features to the greatest extent possible; 522 523 ii. GSA shall avoid, to the greatest extent possible, placement of security 524 elements and features, described and presented in consultation, that would compromise or obscure views to/from Contributing Buildings, 525 526 particularly in the areas along the immediate approach to and through 527 gates from Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue; 528 529 iii. GSA shall adaptively reuse, to the greatest extent possible, extant Contributing Buildings to support the security and operational needs of 530 531 DHS at each gate entry, avoiding unnecessary demolition; 532 533 iv. GSA shall avoid, to the greatest extent possible, abutting or securing 534 fencing or other security elements and features to Contributing Buildings; 535 536 v. In lieu of replacement, GSA shall repair and preserve, to the greatest 537 extent possible, the exterior materials and details associated with the 538 exterior walls and roofs on the Contributing Buildings to be adaptively 539 reused (Buildings 21, 77 and 78); 540 541 vi. GSA shall develop an appropriate system to protect, support and 542 otherwise not adversely affect the Contributing Buildings to be adaptively 543 reused (Buildings 21, 77 and 78). GSA shall adopt a Construction 544 Protection Plan ("CPP") for the Undertaking which includes but is not 545 limited to the installation of electronic sensing devices to detect any potential vibration or shifting of these contributing buildings during 546 547 construction. This proactive effort is being taken as an added protective 548 measure to ensure the safety, security, and stability of these buildings and their immediate environs and to ensure disturbances are limited. The CPP 549 550 shall provide for an engineer and/or historical architect meeting the 551 proposed Secretary of the Interiors Historic Preservation Professional 552 Qualifications Standards 62 Fed. Reg. 33,707 (June 20, 1997) to supervise implementation of the CPP. Vibrations shall be monitored to 553 554 ensure that vibration levels do not exceed 0.2 inches/second. In the event 555 the vibration threshold is exceeded, the CPP shall provide a process to

556 557 558 559 560		ensure that construction work is stopped until such time as the qualified professionals have determined that modifications have been made in the construction activities to assure that no damage shall occur to historic properties.
561	2.	Minimization:
562		
563		GSA shall complete the Undertaking in accordance with the attached (Exhibit
564 565		15) which includes the following actions to <i>minimize</i> adverse effects to Contributing Buildings to the maximum extent possible while meeting
566		operational and security requirements throughout the course of the
567		Undertaking:
568		
569		i. To the extent exterior wall or roof elements are unable to be repaired or
570		preserved on the Contributing Buildings to be adaptively reused (Buildings
571 572		21, 77 and 78), GSA shall rehabilitate and or restore these elements with like materials and features to the extent possible;
572		like materials and reactives to the extent possible,
574		ii. Should it be necessary to abut or secure fencing or other security
575		elements, such as lighting or other integral surveillance equipment to
576		Contributing Buildings, elements will be installed so as not to damage
577		original materials and secured within mortar joints and/or other discreet
578 579		areas not visible to the public;
580		iii. Heights of new vertical construction elements (gatehouses, screening
581		facilities and the visitor center) associated with the Perimeter Security
582		Fence shall be in relation to the heights of the surrounding structures in an
583		effort to minimize visual effects to, from and within the site;
584		
585 586		iv. New construction shall be informed by the Governing Documents and, in
580 587		particular, the Design Guidelines, taking cues from St. Elizabeths regarding form, materials, setting, approach and movement to/through
588		existing campus road and pathways, respecting and/or preserving that
589		experience to the greatest extent possible.
590		
591 502	3.	Mitigation:
592 593		GSA shall take the following actions to <i>mitigate</i> adverse effects to Contributing
594		Buildings to the maximum extent possible while meeting operational and
595		security requirements throughout the course of the Undertaking:
596		
597		i. GSA shall restore the steel gate at the pedestrian opening inside the
598 599		perimeter wall at Building 78 (Gatehouse 2);
600		ii. During construction of the project and in accordance with Section
601		III.D.2.d.b.1 of the PA, GSA shall post two interpretive panels for the public
602		to view at the exterior of the Site. The panels will describe the history and
603		significance of the site and its rehabilitation plans and be posted
604 605		throughout construction as a communication tool about activities beyond
605 606		the historic masonry wall;
000		

607 iii. The DHS visitors' center located at Gate 2 shall incorporate a permanent 608 interpretive exhibit reflecting the history of St. Elizabeths for DHS-screened visitors accessing the Site. Given that this visitors' center is not a general 609 610 public entry, interpretive materials presented along its interior will repeat panels selected for display as part of the overall interpretive program for 611 612 the larger site which will be accessible along screened tours scheduled in 613 accordance with the Public Access Program. 614 B. Contributing Landscape Features 615 616 617 Contributing Landscape Features potentially adversely affected by the various levels of 618 disturbance (both temporary and permanent) proposed by this Undertaking were 619 individually identified and charted in a table entitled "St. Elizabeths West Campus 620 Contributing Landscape Features Affected by Security Perimeter Fence Construction" 621 (Exhibit 5). The table is based on information developed for the St. Elizabeths West 622 Campus Master Plan FEIS. Both this table and the FEIS table were derived from the St. 623 Elizabeths West Campus CLR and LPMP. An overlay of the location of the LOD was used to determine which of the CLR and LPMP's Contributing Features had the potential 624 625 to be affected by construction activity. Cultural Landscape Features within the LOD were 626 evaluated for potential adverse effects. 627 628 In accordance with the attached Exhibits 5 and 6, GSA shall carry out actions for 629 avoiding, minimizing and mitigating effects, including but not limited to: 630 1. Avoidance: 631 632 633 GSA shall take the following actions to avoid unanticipated adverse effects to 634 Contributing Landscape Features, in accordance with the Landscape Preservation 635 Management Plan Contributing Landscape Features to the maximum extent possible while meeting operational and security requirements throughout the 636 637 course of the Undertaking (Exhibit 5): 638 639 i. Landforms adjacent to construction and other areas of disturbance shall be 640 protected during construction; 641 642 ii. GSA shall avoid, to the greatest extent possible, intervention or 643 modification to the historic masonry wall, and limit such effects to where 644 absolutely necessary to accommodate traffic flow, safety and accessibility 645 requirements: 646 647 iii. Erosion and sediment control shall serve to stabilize and therefore protect 648 landscape during construction; 649 650 iv. The Cemetery grounds, headstones, fence and Contributing Landscape Features shall be protected via temporary fencing throughout construction 651 652 of the Perimeter Security Fence. Efforts shall be undertaken to reduce, to 653 the greatest extent possible, the amount of dust and air-borne pollutants to 654 the area, and conditions shall be monitored on a regular basis. For added 655 protection, and to address life-safety concerns, the Cemetery shall be off 656 limits to public access until construction of the Perimeter Fence is 657 complete, with the exception of monitoring, on a regular basis, ongoing

658		protection measures, conditions, unanticipated discoveries and/or adverse
659		effects;
660		
661	۷.	GSA's CPP, referenced above in Section III.A.1.vi, will also apply to the
662		historic masonry wall to monitor, as an added protective measure,
663		potential adverse effects to the historic masonry wall that may result from
664		vibrations.
665		
666	2. Minimizat	ion:
667		
668		shall complete the Undertaking in accordance with the attached (Exhibit 5)
669		include the following actions to <i>minimize</i> adverse effects to Contributing
670	Lands	cape Features to the maximum extent possible while meeting operational
671	and se	ecurity requirements throughout the course of the Undertaking:
672		
673	i.	The Perimeter Security Fence System shall be routed to preserve trees
674		and Contributing Landscape Features as much as possible;
675		
676	ii.	Use of routed fence line to minimize impacts to critical root zones and use
677		of the canopy of high branching existing large trees in secondary clear
678		zone to reduce visible footprint of the perimeter line;
679		
680	iii.	Utilize existing freestanding walls and buildings in a manner that preserves
681		the historic fabric;
682		
683	iv.	Where modifications to the historic masonry wall are necessary, GSA will
684		reconstruct modified sections in accordance with the Governing
685		Documents and in a fashion as compatible with original appearance as
686		possible;
687		
688	۷.	Locate gate entry control facilities to create opportunities for natural
689		surveillance;
690		
691	vi.	Landscape design shall incorporate the November 10, 2008 Security
692		Master Plan guidelines to include strategic placement and selection of
693		plant materials and site furnishings to minimize opportunity for hiding
694		places and preserve for lines of sight for natural and electronic
695		surveillance;
696		
697	vii.	Temporary construction fencing and/or netting, whichever is least invasive
698		and will protect the tree root system, shall be placed along and around
699		significant specimens to identify, protect the specimen(s), avoid and/or
700		minimize effects to the greatest extent possible;
701		
702	viii.	Clearance of the selected understory vegetation and debris in portions of
703		the area between the fence and the plateau (required to maintain proper
704		security along the severe slope area between the fence and plateau to
705		allow for the relocation of the perimeter fence boundary on the western
706		location parallel to the access roadway) will be coordinated with the LPMP
707		and all vegetation shall be cut and not pulled;
708		

709 710		ix.	Relocation of the Perimeter Security Fence from a more eastern location illustrated in the Master Plan, to a western location parallel to the access
711			roadway, retains the Cemetery within the secured perimeter and allows the
712			proposed security perimeter for the larger site to continue essentially
713			parallel to the access road;
714			F
715		X	Substantial reconfiguration of Gate 1 and the associated entrance to the
716			underground parking garage to preserve the ceremonial entrance into the
717			site to the extent possible;
718			
719		vi	Reconfiguration and refinements in designs for Gates 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 to
720		×1.	minimize visual effect to, from and within the Site, and in particular
720			•
			response to Consulting Party concerns regarding the effect of this
722			construction;
723			
724		XII.	Collectively, the refinements of the Security Perimeter Fence shall
725			minimize visual and physical effects to, from and through the Site.
726			
727		3. Mitigation:	
728			
729			hall complete the Undertaking in accordance with the attached Exhibits 5
730		and 6	which illustrate the following actions to <i>mitigate</i> adverse effects to
731		Contril	buting Landscape Features to the maximum extent possible while meeting
732		operat	ional and security requirements throughout the course of the Undertaking:
733			
734		i.	Woodland cover with associated views shall be replanted, where possible;
735			
736		ii.	Landscapes features disturbed shall be replanted, wherever possible, per
737			the LPMP;
738			
739		iii.	In areas used temporarily to support construction and/or constructing
740			staging, Contributing Landscape Features shall be restored upon
740			completion of construction;
742			
743		iv.	Views adversely affected by the Security Perimeter Fence shall be
743		IV.	
			mitigated by refinements in design beyond the level approved in the
745			Master Plan, including using decorative outer fencing in high visibility
746			areas, use of a cable barrier system in place of a bollard barrier system
747			and location of the fence along the western boundary location parallel to
748			the access roadway.
749	_		
750	C.	Archaeology	
751			
752			Artifacts recovered from the interiors of buildings or from surface or ground-
753			activities prior to or during construction phases, including Pre-Construction
754		Activities,	shall be evaluated by a qualified historic preservation, archaeology and/or
755		cultural re	sources specialist in consultation with the DCHPO, and in accordance with
756		"Unanticip	ated Discoveries" Exhibit 14 of the PA.
757			
758		2. Archaeolo	gical Resources Management Plan: GSA has issued a Notice to Proceed
759			mmencement and execution of an ARMP in accordance with mitigation
			.

760 761			measures agreed upon with DCHPO and Section III.D.1.g of the PA. GSA shall continue to consult with DCHPO on the elements associated with this plan. It is
762			anticipated that a draft of this plan for DCHPO review shall be prepared by Spring
763			2010.
764		-	
765		3.	Archaeological investigations:
766			
767			a. Archaeological investigations (Exhibit 11) conducted by GSA have identified
768			anomalies consistent with buried foundations beneath a paved parking lot in the
769			area between Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue and Buildings 70, 72, 73, 74, and 75.
770			As a result of consultation with the DCHPO, GSA shall have a professional
771			archaeologist monitor the installation of the Security Fence in this area.
772			, ,
773			b. Archaeological investigations have identified a feature near Building 16 (Pumping
774			Station) recommended for Phase II investigation. GSA will conduct the Phase II
775			investigations prior to the commencement of ground-disturbing activities for this
776			Undertaking and will consult with the DCHPO on the results of the investigation.
777			
778			c. Archaeological investigations have not been conducted in the vicinity of Building
779			70 (Employee Cafeteria). If it is determined that the demolition will result in
780			ground-disturbing activities, GSA will conduct an investigation to determine
780			
			whether archaeological resources are present and will consult with the DCHPO on
782			the findings of said investigation.
783			
784 785			d. Two separate archaeological investigations have been conducted in the vicinity of
785			the Greenhouses. No archaeological sites were located as a result of either
786			investigation, and no additional investigations are recommended.
787			
788			e. The majority of the Perimeter Security Fence patrol path is located on existing
789			roads or other paved areas, in areas previously surveyed for archaeological
790			resources, or in areas previously disturbed. If it is determined that the path
791			installation will result in ground-disturbing activities in any area not previously
792			surveyed, GSA will conduct an investigation(s) to determine whether
793			archaeological resources are present and will consult with the DCHPO on the
794			findings of said investigation(s).
795			
796	IV.	P	JBLIC ACCESS
797		-	
798		In	accordance with the PA and the USCG MOA, GSA continues to work with DHS to
770			

In accordance with the PA and the USCG MOA, GSA continues to work with DHS to develop a Public Access Program ("Access Program") for St. Elizabeths that respects the operational and functional needs of DHS and shares the experience, exceptional history and significance of the West Campus with the general public. The Public Access program is not applicable to this MOA with the exception of the In-Construction period in which GSA shall coordinate limited West Campus access through DHS Security once construction has commenced for this Undertaking in accordance with DHS' access and in accordance with screening procedures outlined in Section III of the USCG MOA.

807 V. UNANTICIPATED ADVERSE EFFECTS AND CASUALTY LOSS

A. Unanticipated Adverse Effects

- An unanticipated adverse effect is accidental, or previously not considered, damage or
 destruction of a Contributing Building or Landscape Feature or Archaeological Resource
 at the Site. Should any contributing features be subject to unanticipated adverse effects,
 GSA shall immediately notify the DCHPO and ACHP. GSA shall ensure that the
 Signatories and Consulting Parties are notified of the unanticipated adverse effect within
 one (1) business day of its learning of such unanticipated adverse effects. GSA shall
 enter into Consultation in accordance with the PA, Section III.C.
 - B. Casualty Loss

GSA shall ensure that, if there is damage to a Contributing Building or Landscape Feature or Archaeological Resource resulting from casualty loss, GSA shall preserve, repair or rehabilitate as appropriate and in accordance with the approved Master Plan, its Design Guidelines, Landscape Preservation Management Plan, and the Secretary's Standards, unless it is not feasible. If it is not feasible to preserve, repair or rehabilitate as required, GSA shall:

- 1. Engage in consultation with the Signatories and the Consulting Parties, as appropriate, on alternatives consistent with the Secretary's Standards;
- 2. Bear the cost and expense and responsibility of developing and implementing a construction and/or treatment plan;
- 3. If the Signatories and Consulting Parties fail to respond to GSA's request for comments on proposed treatment plans within thirty (30) calendar days, or within an agreed upon timeframe, assume consent to the proposed treatment/alternative.

838 VI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

839 840

841 842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850 851

852

853

818 819

820 821

822

823

824

825

826

827 828

829

830 831

832

833 834

835

836

837

A. For Signatories

- Objection: Should any of the Signatories to this MOA object in writing to GSA regarding any action proposed to be carried out with respect to the Undertaking or implementation of this MOA, GSA shall consult with the objecting Party to resolve the objection. If, after initiating such consultation, GSA determines that the objection cannot be resolved through consultation, GSA shall forward all documentation relevant to the dispute to the ACHP, including GSA's proposed response to the objection. Within fourteen (14) calendar days or within an agreed upon timeframe after receipt of all pertinent documentation, the ACHP shall:
- Advise GSA that the ACHP concurs in GSA's proposed response to the objection, whereupon GSA shall respond to the objection accordingly;
- b. Provide GSA with recommendations. Such recommendations must be considered by GSA, but are not binding. Once GSA takes these recommendations into account and responds, GSA can proceed to make a final decision regarding the dispute; or

859		c. Refer the dispute to ACHP membership for comment pursuant to 36 C.F.R.
860		§800.7(c), and shall notify GSA about such referral. The resulting comment must
861		be considered by GSA, but is not binding. GSA shall take into account, and
862		respond to, the resulting comment in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.7(c) and
863		Section 110 (I) of the NHPA, and then proceed to make a final decision regarding
864		the dispute.
865		
866		2. Failure to Comment: Should the ACHP not exercise one of the above options within
867		fourteen (14) calendar days or within an agreed upon timeframe after receipt of all
868		pertinent documentation, GSA may proceed with its proposed response to the
869		objection.
870		·
871		3. Subject of Dispute: GSA shall take into account any ACHP recommendation or
872		comment provided in accordance with this Stipulation with reference only to the
873		subject of the dispute; GSA's responsibility to carry out all actions under this MOA
873 874		that are not the subject of the objection shall remain unchanged and in full force and
874 875		· · · ·
		effect.
876	_	
877	В.	For Consulting Parties
878		
879		1. Objection: A Consulting Party may object in writing to GSA, with copies to the other
880		Signatories and Consulting Parties regarding any action proposed to be carried out
881		with respect to the Undertaking or implementation of this MOA. GSA shall take such
882		an objection into account and may consult about it with the objecting party, other
883		Consulting Parties and Signatories as it deems appropriate. GSA shall then respond
884		to the objecting party in writing, with copies to the Signatories. If GSA subsequently
885		determines that the objection cannot be resolved through consultation, GSA shall
886		notify the objecting party, the DCHPO, and ACHP which of the following options it
887		shall exercise:
888		
889		a Sock the excitations of the ACHD in resolving the chiestion, pursuant to
		a. Seek the assistance of the ACHP in resolving the objection, pursuant to
890 801		Stipulation VI.A above; or
891		b Drovide a formal written reasonable to the chievities within 00 days of refere to the
892		b. Provide a formal written response to the objection within 30 days of notice to the
893		objecting party.
894		
895	VII.	AMENDMENTS
896		
897		Modifications to this MOA shall be carried forth in accordance with consultation
898		processes and procedures outlined in Stipulation III.C.I of the PA. This MOA may be
899		amended when such an amendment is agreed to in writing by all Signatories. The
900		Signatories to this MOA recognize that some modifications may be minor and may not
901		result in adverse effects. GSA shall ensure that the extent and effect of each modification
902		is identified and the appropriate level of review agency involvement is determined. The
902 903		
		amendment shall be effective on the date a copy signed by all of the Signatories is filed
904		with the ACHP.

- with the ACHP.
- VIII. TERMINATION

- A. Proposal to Terminate: If for any reason, a Signatory determines that the terms of this
 MOA cannot be implemented or that this MOA is not being properly implemented, in
 accordance with the NHPA, the Signatory may propose that this MOA be terminated.
- B. Notification: The Signatory proposing to terminate this MOA shall so notify all other
 Signatories, explaining the reasons for the proposed termination and affording them at
 least thirty (30) calendar days or within an agreed upon timeframe to consult and seek
 alternatives to termination.
- C. Termination Due to Failure to Agree: If such consultation fails and the Signatories cannot agree on an alternative to termination, this MOA may thereafter be terminated by the Signatories. In the event of termination, GSA shall ensure that each action that would otherwise be covered in this MOA is reviewed in accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 800.
 - D. Responsibilities upon Termination: If this MOA is terminated, then the Signatories shall take such actions as are necessary to comply with all requirements of 36 C.F.R. Part 800.

925 IX. ADMINISTRATION OF AGREEMENT

Cooperation: During the implementation of this MOA, and until the Signatories agree in writing that the terms of this MOA have been fulfilled; each Party agrees to cooperate with the other Parties to facilitate the satisfaction of their respective obligations under this MOA. The Parties agree to work in good faith with the other Parties to meet their respective obligations in a timely manner.

934 X. EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

This MOA shall become effective when executed by the last of the Signatories ("Effective Date").

939 XI. DURATION OF AGREEMENT

This MOA shall be in effect for six (6) years from the date of its execution. If its terms have not been carried out within that time, or if the Undertaking is not completed, or in the event that mitigation related to necessary response actions for a new or previously unknown security threat must be applied, GSA shall consult with the other Signatories to amend the MOA in accordance with Stipulation VI herein.

947 XII. MISCELLANEOUS

- A. Monitoring: The ACHP and the DCHPO may monitor any activities carried out pursuant to the MOA. GSA shall cooperate with the DCHPO and ACHP in carrying out these monitoring responsibilities.
- B. Availability of Funds: This MOA is subject to applicable laws and regulations. As to the
 Signatories only, fulfillment of this MOA and all of the provisions herein are subject,
 pursuant to the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341 *et seq.*, to the availability of funds.
 This MOA is not an obligation of funds in advance of an appropriation of such funds, and

- 957it does not constitute authority for the expenditure of funds. If a Signatory does not have958sufficient funds available to fulfill the stipulations of this MOA, such Signatory shall so959notify the other Signatories and shall take such actions as are necessary to comply with960all requirements of 36 C.F.R. Part 800. Nothing in this MOA shall be deemed to961authorize an expenditure of funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341962et seq. GSA, DHS and ACHP shall make reasonable and good faith efforts to seek963funding for implementing this MOA.
 - C. Recitals: The recitals (Whereas clauses) are incorporated herein as a substantive part of this MOA.
 - D. Definitions: Certain capitalized terms' definitions that are not contained in this MOA may be found in the PA for the DHS Headquarters of December 9, 2008 (Exhibit 1).
 - E. Authority of Signers: Each Signatory hereto represents that the person or persons executing this MOA on behalf of such Signatory has full authority to do so.
- F. Emergency Actions: In addition to Section IX.D of the PA, the provisions of this MOA shall not restrict or otherwise impede DHS from taking immediate actions deemed necessary to protect life and property in order to deter, detect or otherwise respond to a credible terrorist threat or attack upon the St. Elizabeths Campus. Should such action be deemed necessary by DHS, any adverse impacts, should they occur, will be addressed through Article V.

982 XIII. COMMUNICATIONS WITH CONSULTING PARTIES

- A. GSA shall provide Consulting Parties with address and contact information for the appropriate office within GSA for the receipt of any comments provided by them under this MOA.
- B. GSA shall maintain a list of Consulting Party contacts, presumably by e-mail, which shall
 be updated by the Consulting Parties with changes should they occur.

991 XIV. SIGNATURES

- Execution and implementation of this MOA by the Signatories, and implementation of its terms, shall evidence that GSA has afforded the ACHP and DCHPO an opportunity to comment on the Undertaking and its effects, and that GSA has taken into account the effects of the Undertaking on historic properties in compliance with 36 C.F.R. Part 800 and Sections 106 and 110 of the NHPA.
- 998

964 965

966

967 968

969

970 971

972

981

983 984

985

986 987

990

- 999 [signatures follow]
- 1000

1001 GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 By: L William B. Bush 1007 Regional Commissioner Public Buildings Service National Capital Region 1008

02/10 31

Date:

By:

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

3/2/10

Date:

Beth L. Savage Director, Center for Historic Buildings Federal Preservation Officer

District Of Columbia STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

Athe

3/3/2010 Date:

By: David Maloney State Historic Preservation Officer

Page 23 of 27

1104	Ν
1105	
1105 $\partial / \partial / \partial$	
1105 1106 Ablen M. Drolen	

1104

1105

1106

1107 By: 1108

- John M. Fowler
- **Executive Director**
- 1109 1110

6 las Z Date

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION By:

3/3/10

Marcel C. Acosta Executive Director

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY 1 MAR 2010 Date: By: Donald G. Bathurst Chief Administrative Officer

1210		EXHIBIT LIST
1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216	and Archives Office Buildin	ine at <u>www.stelizabethswestcampus.com</u> and in hard copy form from the Library at the U.S. General Services Administration, National Capital Region, Regional ng, 7 th & D Streets SW, Room 2021, Washington DC 20407-000; Phone (202) 358- 02) 708-4925
1217 1218 1219 1220	Exhibit 1	Programmatic Agreement (Exhibit can be found on the project website at <u>http://www.stelizabethswestcampus.com/document_center/index.php</u>)
1221 1222	Exhibit 2	Regional Plan
1223 1224	Exhibit 3	Area of Potential Effect (APE)
1225 1226 1227 1228	Exhibit 4	Construction Staging Plan (inclusive of Site Area and LOD) Exhibit is considered SBU. For permissions and access to this exhibit in accordance with Exhibit 14, please contact GSA.
1220 1229 1230	Exhibit 5	Contributing Landscape Features
1231 1232 1233 1234	Exhibit 6	February 26 th NCPC Preliminary/Final Design Submission Exhibit is considered SBU. For permissions and access to this exhibit in accordance with Exhibit 14, please contact GSA.
1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240	Exhibit 7	Landscape Preservation and Management Plan (Exhibit can be found on the project website at <u>http://www.stelizabethswestcampus.com/document_center/index.php</u> under the Historic Preservation/Section 106 Landscape Preservation and Management Plan 75% Draft Report header)
1240 1241 1242 1243 1244	Exhibit 8	Historic American Landscape Survey (HALS) (Exhibit can be found on the project website at <u>http://www.stelizabethswestcampus.com/document_center/index.php</u>)
1244 1245 1246 1247 1248	Exhibit 9	Historic Structures Reports (HSRs) and Building Preservation Plans (BPPs) (Exhibit can be found on the project website at <u>http://www.stelizabethswestcampus.com/document_center/index.php</u>)
1249 1250	Exhibit 10	DCHPO Geophysical survey work plan concurrence
1251 1252	Exhibit 11	Geophysical Survey
1253 1254	Exhibit 12	October 9, 2009 Consultation Letter
1255 1256	Exhibit 13	March 16, 2009 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) Letter to GSA
1250 1257 1258	Exhibit 14	Sensitive But Unclassified Guidelines and Procedures
1250	Exhibit 15	Contributing Buildings Features and Treatment Recommendations