

Laura Dean

From: ArcheolAP.Project

Sent: Monday, June 19, 2006 2:35 PM

To: Laura Dean

Subject: FW: Comments to Draft Policy - Treatment of Burial Sites, Human Remains and Funerary Objects

From: Roger Block [mailto:rblock@tampabay.rr.com]

Sent: Tue 6/13/2006 9:55 AM

To: Bob Austin; ArcheolAP.Project

Cc: Roger Block

Subject: Comments to Draft Policy - Treatment of Burial Sites, Human Remains and Funerary Objects

In review of the draft policy, I have interpreted and I am very concerned that this revised policy applies only to encounters or possible encounters under Section 106 of the NHPA. And under Scope and Applicability, "that to be considered under section 106 of NHPA, the burial site must be a historic property, meaning either listed on or eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places".

This is way too restrictive. What about burials in isolated areas which cannot and will not qualify for inclusion on the NRHP? This revised policy must apply to any encountered or possibly encountered burial site where ever it occurs. Again, I may have interpreted this restriction incorrectly, but as I see it, this policy must apply whenever or where ever a historic burial is encountered or may be encountered irregardless of National Register of Historic Places considerations.

Roger F. Block, PhD
President, Central Gulf Coast Archaeological Society
P.O. Box 9507
Treasure Island, FL 33706
rblock@tampabay.rr.com

6/19/2006